UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/576,358	08/11/2006	Austin Gerard Smith	09641.0011-00000	1585
22852 7590 10/04/2010 FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER		EXAMINER		
LLP			BARNHART, LORA ELIZABETH	
901 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1651	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/04/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/576,358	SMITH ET AL.
Notice of Abandonment	Examiner	Art Unit
	Lora E. Barnhart	1651
The MAILING DATE of this communication app		l e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e
This application is abandoned in view of:		
Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office (a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of M period for reply (including a total extension of time of, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply to the Office of Management (a) ☐ A proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply to the Office of Management (b) ☐ A proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply to the Office of Management (b) ☐ A proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply to the Office of Management (b) ☐ A proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply to the Office of Management (b) ☐ A proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply to the Office of Management (b) ☐ A proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply was received on	lailing or Transmission dated; month(s)) which expired on	
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection	n consists only of: (1) a timely filed an Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); o	nendment which places the
(c) ☐ A reply was received on but it does not constitution final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See €	ite a proper reply, or a bona fide atte	mpt at a proper reply, to the non-
(d) ⊠ No reply has been received.	,	
 2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8: (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was	5). received on (with a Certifica	ate of Mailing or Transmission dated
(b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance	of \$ is due.	
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ 1		CFR 1.18(d), is \$
(c) \square The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has no	ot been received.	
3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requ Allowability (PTO-37).	iired by, and within the three-month p	period set in, the Notice of
(a) ☐ Proposed corrected drawings were received onafter the expiration of the period for reply.	(with a Certificate of Mailing or Tran	smission dated), which is
(b) \(\subseteq \text{No corrected drawings have been received.} \)		
 The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants. 	attorney or agent of record, the assi	ignee of the entire interest, or all of
 The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. 	attorney or agent (acting in a represe	entative capacity under 37 CFR
6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claim		e the period for seeking court review
7. The reason(s) below:		
	/Lora E Barnhart/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit	t 1651
	the helf-section at secure 1. CT	

Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
PTOL-1432 (Rev. 04-01)

Notice of Abandonment

Part of Paper No. 20100930 Part of Paper No. 20100930